Georgia Opioid Crisis Abatement Trust

Application Evaluation Scoring Rubric

Proposal Section	Maximum Points
Organization Background and Qualifications	
Organization Mission	5 points
Organization Qualifications	10 points
Key Personnel	5 points
Total Possible Section Score	*20 points*
Project Approach	
Demonstrated Need	20 points
Proposed Approach	20 points
Collaboration	10 points
Timeline	10 points
Total Possible Section Score	*60 points*
Potential Impact	
Goals and Objectives	15 points
Outcomes and Benefits	15 points
Total Possible Section Score	*30 points*
Budget	
Line-item Budget	10 points
Budget Narrative	10 points
Total Possible Section Score	*20 points*
Total Possible Application Score	**130 points**

Application Section: Organization Background and Qualification
Total Possible Section Score: 20 points

	Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
Organization Mission (5 points)					
Points Available	5	4	3	2	< 2
Evaluation Criteria	Proposal includes a clear organization mission statement.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Proposal does not include a clear organization mission statement.
Organization Qualifications (10 points)					
Points Available	10	9–8	7–6	5	< 5
Evaluation Criteria	Proposal clearly explains the organization's past experience, qualifications, and current capacity that will directly contribute to the success of the project.	Proposal adequately explains the organization's past experience, qualifications, and current capacity that will directly contribute to the success of the project.	Proposal somewhat explains the organization's past experience, qualifications, and current capacity that will directly contribute to the success of the project.	Proposal inadequately explains the organization's past experience, qualifications, and current capacity that will directly contribute to the success of the project.	Proposal poorly explains the organization's past experience, qualifications, and current capacity that will directly contribute to the success of the project.

Application Section: Organization Background and Qualification, cont.

	Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
Key Personnel (5 points)					
Points Available	5	4	3	2	< 2
Evaluation Criteria	Proposal clearly lists key personnel, provides detailed staff descriptions, and has uploaded an organizational chart.	Proposal clearly lists key personnel, provides adequate staff descriptions, and has uploaded an organizational chart.	Proposal clearly lists key personnel, provides vague staff descriptions, and has uploaded an organizational chart.	Proposal vaguely lists some key personnel, provides vague staff descriptions, and has uploaded an organizational chart.	Proposal does not list key personnel or provide clear staff descriptions, and has not uploaded an organizational chart.

Application Section: Project Approach
Total Possible Section Score: 60 points

	Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
Demonstrated Need (20 points)					
Points Available	20	19–17	16–14	13–11	< 11
Evaluation Criteria	Proposal clearly demonstrates the need for the proposed service(s) in the geographic region or population.	Proposal adequately demonstrates the need for the proposed service(s) in the geographic region or population.	Proposal somewhat demonstrates the need for the proposed service(s) in the geographic region or population.	Proposal inadequately demonstrates the need for the proposed service(s) in the geographic region or population.	Proposal does not demonstrate the need for the proposed service(s) in the geographic region or population.
Proposed Approach (20 points)					
Points Available	10	9–8	7–6	5	< 5
Evaluation Criteria	Proposal clearly describes the overall program approach for implementing the selected funding categories.	Proposal adequately describes the overall program approach for implementing the selected funding categories.	Proposal somewhat describes the overall program approach for implementing the selected funding categories.	Proposal inadequately describes the overall program approach for implementing the selected funding categories.	Proposal does not describe the overall program approach for implementing the selected funding categories.

Application Section: Project Approach, cont.

	Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
Collaboration (10 points)					
Points Available	10	9–8	7–6	5	< 5
Evaluation Criteria	Proposal clearly describes plans for collaboration with relevant community stakeholders and partners.	Proposal adequately describes plans for collaboration with relevant community stakeholders and partners.	Proposal somewhat describes plans for collaboration with relevant community stakeholders and partners.	Proposal inadequately describes plans for collaboration with relevant community stakeholders and partners.	Proposal does not describe plans for collaboration with relevant community stakeholders and partners.
Timeline (10 points)					
Points Available	10	9–8	7–6	5	< 5
Evaluation Criteria	Proposal has a workplan uploaded in the appropriate template and the workplan clearly defines an appropriate and feasible timeline for the project.	Proposal has a workplan uploaded in the appropriate template and the workplan adequately defines an appropriate and feasible timeline for the project.	Proposal has a workplan uploaded in the appropriate template and the workplan somewhat defines an appropriate and feasible timeline for the project.	Proposal has a workplan uploaded in the appropriate template but does not clearly define an appropriate and feasible timeline for the project.	Workplan document was not uploaded in the appropriate template.

Application Section: Potential Impact
Total Maximum Section Score: 30 points

	Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
Goals and Objectives (15 points)					
Points Available	15	14–12	11–9	8–7	< 7
Evaluation Criteria	Proposal has clear goals and objectives that align with the proposed project.	Proposal has clear goals and objectives that may align with the proposed project.	Proposal has some goals and objectives that may align with the proposed project.	Proposal has some goals and objectives that may not align with the proposed project.	Proposal has goals and objectives but do not align with the proposed project.
Outcomes and Benefits (15 points)					
Points Available	15	14–12	11–9	8–7	< 7
Evaluation Criteria	Proposal clearly states outcomes and benefits that connect to the goals and clearly describes how success will be achieved and measured.	Proposal adequately states outcomes and benefits that connect to the goals and adequately describes how success will be achieved and measured.	Proposal somewhat states outcomes and benefits that connect to the goals and somewhat describes how success will be achieved and measured.	Proposal inadequately states outcomes and benefits that connect to the goals and inadequately describes how success will be achieved and measured.	Proposal does not state outcomes and benefits that connect to the goals and does not describe how success will be achieved and measured.

Application Section: Budget
Total Maximum Section Score: 20 points

	Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
Line-Item Budget (10 points)					
Points Available	10	9–8	7–6	5	< 5
Evaluation Criteria	Proposal includes a detailed line-item budget in the appropriate template.	N/A	N/A	Proposal includes a detailed line-item budget but not in the appropriate template.	Proposal does not include a detailed line-item budget in the appropriate template.
Budget Narrative (10 points)					
Points Available	10	9–8	7–6	5	< 5
Evaluation Criteria	Proposal clearly outlines the proposed services, including a breakout of staff time by type (i.e., analyst, administrative support, etc.) and estimated hours, supplies, travel-related expenses, and other expenses in the appropriate template.	Proposal adequately outlines the proposed services, including a breakout of staff time by type (i.e., analyst, administrative support, etc.) and estimated hours, supplies, travel-related expenses, and other expenses in the appropriate template.	Proposal somewhat outlines the proposed services, including a breakout of staff time by type (i.e., analyst, administrative support, etc.) and estimated hours, supplies, travel-related expenses, and other expenses in the appropriate template.	Proposal inadequately outlines the proposed services, including a breakout of staff time by type (i.e., analyst, administrative support, etc.) and estimated hours, supplies, travel-related expenses, and other expenses in the appropriate template.	Proposal does not outline the proposed services, including a breakout of staff time by type (i.e., analyst, administrative support, etc.) and estimated hours, supplies, travel-related expenses, and other expenses in the appropriate template.

SCORING GUIDELINES

Outstanding	All elements of the criterion are clearly addressed, well-conceived, thoroughly developed, and well supported. Documentation and required information are specific and comprehensive. The criterion has no deficiencies or weaknesses. All strengths identified should clearly be above and beyond the baseline requirements. No restatements of the application or the NOFO requirements.
Very Good	Elements are clearly addressed with necessary detail and the evidence is thoroughly supported. Documentation and required information are specific and comprehensive. Any weaknesses identified will likely have minor impacts on the successful implementation and execution of the proposed project.
Good	Elements are addressed, although some do not contain necessary detail and/or support. Most documentation and required information are present and sufficient. Application has some strengths but with at least one weakness identified that will likely have a moderate impact on the successful implementation and execution of the proposed project.
Satisfactory	Most elements are addressed, although when addressed, do not contain all the necessary detail and/or support. Documentation and required information are deficient. Application has few strengths and some weaknesses and of the weaknesses identified, only one major weakness. The one major weakness could potentially impact the successful implementation and execution of the proposed project.
Poor	Few, if any, elements are addressed. Documentation and required information are deficient or omitted. Application has very few strengths and numerous major weaknesses. Weaknesses identified will have substantial impact and prevent the successful.